

Dynamical Charged N-body Equilibrium in Circular Dilaton Gravity

Ryan Kerner and Robert B. Mann

February 7, 2008

Abstract

We extend the problem of $(1+1)$ circular dilaton gravity to include charged particles. We examine the two (charged) particle case in detail and find an exact equilibrium solution. We then extend this to N -particles and obtain a solution for this case as well. This class of solutions corresponds to N -particles of the same mass, spaced evenly around the circle with charges chosen so that the electric field satisfies $E^2 = \text{constant}$. We discuss the relation of these solutions to the previous uncharged equilibrium solutions and examine the behavior when the number of particles is large. We comment on the challenges in further generalizing the solutions we obtain.

1 Introduction

Circular gravity is a general relativistic gravitational system in $(1+1)$ dimensions in which the topology of space is equivalent to that of a circle S^1 . Its counterpart, lineal gravity (the same system with the S^1 topology replaced by $\mathbb{R}^1$), has been the subject of much investigation over the past twenty years, motivated by the desire to understand black holes [2], space-time structure [3, 4], canonical quantum gravity [5], string physics [6], information loss in black hole evaporation [7] and the N -body problem [8, 9].

This latter problem involves consideration of a collection of N particles interacting through their own mutual gravitational attraction, along with other specified forces. Such systems are referred to as self-gravitating systems. Apart from functioning as prototypes for higher dimensional gravity, in lineal gravity they also approximate the behavior of some physical systems in three spatial dimensions, such as stellar dynamics orthogonal to a galactic plane, the collisions of flat parallel domain walls, and the dynamics of cosmic strings. The ergodic and equipartition properties of non-relativistic one-dimensional self-gravitating systems are a current subject of research [10], and recently such systems were shown to exhibit fractal behavior [11].

In the past few years these systems have been extended to relativistic lineal gravity. The 2-body problem has been solved exactly [12], as have its extensions to include cosmological expansion [13, 14] and/or electromagnetic interactions [15]. These extensions, while considerably more complicated, are nevertheless amenable to statistical-mechanical analysis [9]. They include the non-relativistic self-gravitating systems [10, 16] as a limiting case.

Comparatively little is known about the circular counterparts of these lineal systems. Preliminary investigations [17] have shown that qualitatively new features emerge that are absent in the non-compact lineal case. For comparison, in the absence of other fields there are no static non-relativistic equilibrium solutions for N particles on a circle. This is because the non-relativistic potential grows linearly with increasing distance from the source, and a non-relativistic circular topology admits no solutions to the matching conditions for any $N \geq 1$. However it has recently been shown that the relativistic case has an exact equilibrium solution for N particles [1]. This solution is possible because the space-

time itself either expands or contracts in response to the sources, an option not available in the non-relativistic case.

In this paper we extend the formalism of the N -body problem in circular gravity to include electromagnetic interactions. We search for equilibrium solutions in the case when the particles are charged. We examine the charged 2-body system in detail, and find a class of charged solutions that can be generalized to N -particles and encompasses the previous non-charged solutions. Our solutions are not the most general, and we comment on the challenges involved in extending the solutions that we obtain.

2 Canonical Circular Gravity

We begin with the general framework previously formulated for canonical circular gravity minimally coupled to N particles in the presence of a cosmological constant Λ [17], extending the formalism to include coupling to electromagnetism. This can be done in a manner analogous to that way that charges were added to lineal gravity [15]. The resulting action is

$$\begin{aligned}
I = & \oint d^2x \left[\frac{1}{2\kappa} \sqrt{-g} g^{\mu\nu} \left\{ \Psi R_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \nabla_\mu \Psi \nabla_\nu \Psi + \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \Lambda \right\} \right. \\
& - A_\mu F_{,\nu}^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{4\sqrt{-g}} F^{\mu\nu} F^{\alpha\beta} g_{\mu\alpha} g_{\nu\beta} \\
& \left. + \sum_a \int d\tau_a \left\{ -m_a \left(-g_{\mu\nu}(x) \frac{dz_a^\mu}{d\tau_a} \frac{dz_a^\nu}{d\tau_a} \right)^{1/2} + e_a \frac{dz_a^\mu}{d\tau_a} A_\mu(x) \right\} \right. \\
& \left. \times \delta^2(x - z_a(\tau_a)) \right], \tag{1}
\end{aligned}$$

where Ψ is the dilaton field, A_μ and $F^{\mu\nu}$ are the vector potential and the field strength, $g_{\mu\nu}$ and g are the metric and its determinant, R is the Ricci scalar, and τ_a, z_a , and e_a are the proper time, position, and charge of the a -th particle, with $\kappa = 8\pi G/c^4$. The range of the spatial coordinate x is $-L \leq x \leq L$, and the circular topology implies that all fields must be at least C^1 functions of x with period $2L$, therefore

$$f(L) = f(-L) \quad \text{and} \quad f'(L) = f'(-L) . \tag{2}$$

The action (1) describes a generally covariant self-gravitating system (without collisional terms, so that the bodies pass through each other); its field equations are

$$R - g^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \Psi = 0, \quad (3)$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \nabla_\mu \Psi \nabla_\nu \Psi - \frac{1}{4} g_{\mu\nu} \nabla^\lambda \Psi \nabla_\lambda \Psi + g_{\mu\nu} \nabla^\lambda \nabla_\lambda \Psi - \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \Psi = \kappa T_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \Lambda, \quad (4)$$

$$F_{,\nu}^{\mu\nu} = \sum_a e_a \int d\tau_a \frac{dz_a^\mu}{d\tau_a} \delta^2(x - z_a(\tau_a)), \quad (5)$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu, \quad (6)$$

$$\begin{aligned} m_a \left[\frac{d}{d\tau_a} \left\{ g_{\mu\nu}(z_a) \frac{dz_a^\nu}{d\tau_a} \right\} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\nu\lambda,\mu}(z_a) \frac{dz_a^\nu}{d\tau_a} \frac{dz_a^\lambda}{d\tau_a} \right] \\ = e_a \frac{dz_a^\nu}{d\tau_a} \{ A_{\nu,\mu}(z_a) - A_{\mu,\nu}(z_a) \}, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where the stress-energy due to the point masses and the electric field is

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\mu\nu} = \sum_a m_a \int d\tau_a \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} g_{\mu\sigma} g_{\nu\rho} \frac{dz_a^\sigma}{d\tau_a} \frac{dz_a^\rho}{d\tau_a} \delta^2(x - z_a(\tau_a)) \\ + \frac{1}{-g} \{ F_{\mu\alpha} F_{\nu\beta} g^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{4} g_{\mu\nu} F_{\alpha\beta} F^{\alpha\beta} \}, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Recall that there is no magnetic component of the field in (1+1) dimensions. Conservation of $T_{\mu\nu}$ is ensured by eq. (4). Inserting the trace of eq. (4) into eq. (3) yields

$$R - \Lambda = \kappa T^\mu{}_\mu. \quad (9)$$

Consequently eqs. (5)-(7) and (9) form a closed system of equations for gravity, matter, and electromagnetism leaving (4) to determine the evolution of the dilaton field Ψ . The preceding system generalizes Jackiw-Teitelboim lineal gravity [18], which equates scalar curvature to a (cosmological) constant Λ , reducing to it if the stress-energy (8) vanishes. The specific choice of dilaton coupling in $R = T$ theory is such that the evolution of the dilaton does not modify the aforementioned reciprocal gravity/matter/electromagnetic dynamics. The total system is a closed system that describes the evolution of the single metric degree of freedom and the N degrees of freedom of the charged point masses. The evolution of the charged point-masses governs the evolution of the dilaton via (4), whose divergencelessness is consistent with the conservation of $T^\mu{}_\mu$, yielding only one independent equation to determine the single degree of freedom of the dilaton.

The metric is written as $ds^2 = -(N_0 dt)^2 + \gamma \left(dx + \frac{N_1}{\gamma} dt \right)^2$, where N_0 is the lapse and N_1 is the shift. With this metric the extrinsic curvature K is then

$$K = (2N_0\gamma)^{-1} (2\partial_1 N_1 - \gamma^{-1} N_1 \partial_1 \gamma - \partial_0 \gamma) = \sqrt{\gamma} \kappa (\pi - \Pi/\gamma) \quad (10)$$

where the conjugate momenta to γ and Ψ are respectively denoted π and Π . We can take the extrinsic curvature K to be a time coordinate $\tau(t)$ of the circle, thereby allowing the elimination of π from all field equations since $\pi = \frac{\tau}{\kappa\sqrt{\gamma}} + \frac{\Pi}{\gamma}$.

Simplifying the action (1) involves decomposing the scalar curvature in terms of the extrinsic curvature via the equation $\sqrt{-g}R = -2\partial_0(\sqrt{\gamma}K) + 2\partial_1[(N_1K - \partial_1N_0)/\sqrt{\gamma}]$. Substituting this result into the action (1), and eliminating π via $\pi = \frac{\tau}{\kappa\sqrt{\gamma}} + \frac{\Pi}{\gamma}$ yields [15] [17]

$$I = \oint d^2x \left\{ \sum_a p_a \dot{z}_a \delta(x - z_a) + \Pi \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\Psi + \ln \gamma) - \mathcal{H} \right\}, \quad (11)$$

where $H = \oint dx \mathcal{H} = \frac{2\dot{\tau}}{\kappa} \oint dx \sqrt{\gamma}$. H is the circumference functional of the circle when $\dot{\tau}$ is constant. The dynamics is that of a time-dependent system, with the time-dependence corresponding to the time-varying circumference of the circle of constant mean extrinsic curvature. As with general relativity on spatially compact manifolds in $(2+1)$ dimensions [19], the spacetime metric induces the metric $d\sigma^2 = g_{11}(x,t)dx^2$ on each $t = \text{constant}$ hypersurface. Since any non-singular metric on a circle is globally conformal to a flat metric, we choose the spatial metric to be such that $\gamma = \gamma(t)$, i.e. $\gamma' = 0$. In other words, we write the g_{11} component of the metric as $\gamma(t)h(x,t)$, and then set $h(x,t) = 1$ by a coordinate transformation. By choosing a time parametrization so that $\dot{\tau}\sqrt{\gamma}$ is constant, the Hamiltonian can be made time-independent.

It is important to note that one of the intermediate steps in the previous simplification is a constraint equation on the electric field E [15], which is

$$\frac{dE}{dx} = \sum_a e_a \delta(x - z_a(t)) \quad (12)$$

The solution to this constraint equation (12) is

$$E = \frac{1}{2} \sum_a e_a \epsilon(x - z_a(t)) + E_0 \quad (13)$$

where $\epsilon(x)$ is the step function $\epsilon(x) = \frac{|x|}{x}$, and $\epsilon(0) = 0$, or more explicitly

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \epsilon(x) = -1, x < 0 \\ \epsilon(x) = 0, x = 0 \\ \epsilon(x) = 1, x > 0 \end{array} \right\}$$

We chose a regularization such that $f(z_a) = 1/2(f(z_a + \epsilon) + f(z_a - \epsilon))$ for any quantity that has a jump discontinuity. This is necessary as otherwise it would not be possible to satisfy field equations that depend on the specific value of the fields at the location of the particles (i.e. field equations (20), (21) or equivalently (29), (30)). The choice of $\epsilon = 0$ at the particle is a reflection of this regularization and is necessary to form a solution.

Applying the smoothness condition across the boundary (2) to the equation for the electric field (13) implies that $\sum_a e_a = 0$. So the total charge of the (1 + 1) circular gravity system must equal zero.

The resulting field equations from the action (11) therefore become

$$2\Pi' - \Pi\Psi' + \sum_a p_a \delta(x - z_a(x^0)) = 0 \quad (14)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi'' - \frac{1}{4}(\Psi')^2 - (\kappa\Pi)^2 + \gamma(\tau^2 - \Lambda/2 + \kappa E^2/2) \\ + \kappa \sum_a \sqrt{p_a^2 + \gamma m_a^2} \delta(x - z_a(x^0)) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

$$\begin{aligned} N_0'' - \dot{\tau}\gamma \\ - N_0 \left\{ \gamma(\tau^2 - \Lambda/2 + \kappa E^2/2) + \kappa \sum_a \frac{\gamma m_a^2}{2\sqrt{p_a^2 + \gamma m_a^2}} \delta(x - z_a(x^0)) \right\} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

$$N_1' - \dot{\gamma}/2 + \gamma\tau N_0 = 0, \quad (17)$$

$$\dot{\Pi} + \partial_1 \left(-\frac{1}{\gamma} N_1 \Pi + \frac{1}{2\kappa\sqrt{\gamma}} N_0 \Psi' + \frac{1}{\kappa\sqrt{\gamma}} N_0' \right) = 0, \quad (18)$$

$$\dot{\Psi} + 2N_0 \left(\kappa \frac{\Pi}{\sqrt{\gamma}} + \tau \right) - N_1 \left(\frac{1}{\gamma} \Psi' \right) = 0, \quad (19)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{p}_a + \frac{\partial N_0}{\partial z_a} \sqrt{\frac{p_a^2}{\gamma} + m_a^2} - \frac{N_0}{2\sqrt{\frac{p_a^2}{\gamma} + m_a^2}} \frac{p_a^2}{\gamma^2} \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial z_a} - \frac{\partial N_1}{\partial z_a} \frac{p_a}{\gamma} + N_1 \frac{p_a}{\gamma^2} \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial z_a} \\ + \int dx N_0 \sqrt{\gamma} E \frac{dE}{dz_a} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

$$\dot{z}_a - N_0 \frac{\frac{p_a}{\gamma}}{\sqrt{\frac{p_a^2}{\gamma} + m_a^2}} + \frac{N_1}{\gamma} = 0. \quad (21)$$

In the equations (20),(21) the prime denotes derivative with respect to x and the dot denotes derivative with respect to t . All metric components (N_0 , N_1 , γ) are evaluated at the point $x = z_a$ where

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_a} \equiv \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x} \Big|_{x=z_a}. \quad (22)$$

Previous work in the $e_a = 0$ case [1] has shown that an equilibrium solution exists when all particles have the same mass and are evenly spaced around the circle. So it is reasonable to search for (possibly similar) equilibrium solutions in the charged case. Introducing the ansatz $m_a = m$, $\dot{z}_a = 0$, $p_a = 0$ into eqs. (14)-(21) leads to the simplification

$$2\Pi' - \Pi\Psi' = 0; \quad (23)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi'' - \frac{1}{4}(\Psi')^2 - (\kappa\Pi)^2 + \gamma\left(\tau^2 - \frac{\Lambda - \kappa E^2}{2}\right) \\ + \kappa \sum_a \sqrt{\gamma} m \delta(x - z_a) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

$$N_0'' - \dot{\tau}\gamma - N_0 \left\{ \gamma\left(\tau^2 - \frac{\Lambda - \kappa E^2}{2}\right) + \kappa/2 \sum_a \sqrt{\gamma} m \delta(x - z_a) \right\} = 0 \quad (25)$$

$$N_1' - \dot{\gamma}/2 + \gamma\tau N_0 = 0 \quad (26)$$

$$\dot{\Pi} + \partial_1\left(-\frac{1}{\gamma}N_1\Pi + \frac{1}{2\kappa\sqrt{\gamma}}N_0\Psi' + \frac{1}{\kappa\sqrt{\gamma}}N_0'\right) = 0 \quad (27)$$

$$\dot{\Psi} + 2N_0\left(\kappa\frac{\Pi}{\sqrt{\gamma}} + \tau\right) - N_1\left(\frac{1}{\gamma}\Psi'\right) = 0 \quad (28)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial N_0}{\partial z_a} m + \int dx N_0 \sqrt{\gamma} E \frac{dE}{dz_a} = 0 \quad (29) \\ N_1(z_a) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

3 Two Neutral Particles of Unequal Mass

We begin by attempting to solve the case of two uncharged bodies of unequal mass. Here we find that the resulting constraint equations seem to force very specific conditions on the system. We shall encounter a similar situation in the charged case

As before we use the same field equations (14)-(21) and again equilibrium solutions are characterized by $\dot{z}_a = 0 = p_a$, corresponding to a situation in which all particles are motionless at various points around the circle. This implies from (20,21) that

$$\frac{\partial N_0}{\partial z_a} = 0 \quad N_1(z_a) = 0 \quad . \quad (31)$$

the field equations (14-19) can be solved in terms of the quantity $c^2 \equiv \gamma(\tau^2 - \Lambda/2)$, which is a function only of the time coordinate.

The solution to (14) is simply

$$\Pi = \Pi_0(t) \exp \Psi/2 \quad (32)$$

which can then be substituted into (15) to obtain

$$\Psi'' - \frac{1}{4}(\Psi')^2 - (\kappa\Pi_0)^2 \exp \Psi + c^2 + \sum_{a=1}^N \kappa\sqrt{\gamma} m_a \delta(x - z_a) = 0 \quad (33)$$

for a system of N particles in equilibrium on the circle.

When $N = 2$, the two particles are placed on a line at coordinates (z_1, z_2) respectively, dividing the line into three regions. Without loss of generality, a shift in the x -coordinate allows us to place the origin equidistant from either mass, so that $z_1 = z = -z_2$.

In the 2-body case the matching conditions imply from (33) that

$$\Psi_1(L) = \Psi_3(-L) \quad \Psi'_1(L) = \Psi'_3(-L) \quad (34)$$

$$\Psi_1(z) = \Psi_2(z) \quad \Psi_3(-z) = \Psi_2(-z) \quad (35)$$

$$\Psi'_1(z) - \Psi'_2(z) = -\kappa\sqrt{\gamma}m_1 \quad \Psi'_2(-z) - \Psi'_3(-z) = -\kappa\sqrt{\gamma}m_2 \quad (36)$$

for the dilaton field. The conditions (34) are smoothness conditions at the join of the outer regions where the line segment is identified to a circle. Conditions (35) are continuity conditions at the locations of the particles, and conditions (36) are junction conditions which follow upon integration of (33).

In general there are three possible choices for c^2 : $c^2 = 0$, $c^2 = c_+^2 > 0$, $c^2 = c_-^2 < 0$. It is straightforward to show that no solutions exist for $c^2 = 0$. The solution in each region $I=1, \dots, 3$ when $c^2 = 0$ is

$$\Psi_I = -2 \ln [\kappa \Pi_0 (B_I + (s_I x + (I-2)L))] \quad (37)$$

where B_I are functions only of time. The constant $(I-2)L$ is inserted for convenience, and $s_I^2 = 1$ for $I=2$ and $s_I = 1$ otherwise. eq. (34) implies that $B_1 = B_3 = B$, and (35) is easily shown to imply that $B_2 = B$, and $z = L/2$, with $s_2 = -1$; the only alternative solution yields $L = 0$, which is unacceptable. Finally, equation (36) implies after some algebra that

$$B = \frac{4}{\kappa\sqrt{\gamma}} \left(\frac{1}{m_2} - \frac{1}{m_1} \right) \quad L = \frac{-4}{\kappa\sqrt{\gamma}} \left(\frac{1}{m_2} + \frac{1}{m_1} \right) \quad (38)$$

which is not allowed, since $L > 0$. Hence there are no equilibrium solutions if $c^2 = 0$, consistent with the single-particle case.

Consequently we need only examine the cases $c^2 = c_+^2 > 0$ and $c^2 = c_-^2 < 0$. Our analysis shall concentrate primarily on the former case, since the latter case can be obtained from it by setting $c \rightarrow ic$ in our solutions, with only slight modifications that we shall discuss as they arise.

For the case $c^2 = c_+^2 > 0$, the solution in each region $I=1, \dots, 3$ is

$$\Psi_I = -2 \ln [B_I - \cosh(c_+ (x + (I-2)L) + A_I)] - 2 \ln \left[\frac{\kappa \Pi_0}{c_+ \sqrt{B_I^2 - 1}} \right] \quad (39)$$

where as before (A_I, B_I) are functions only of time, and the constant $(I-2)L$ is inserted for convenience. Smoothness at the identification point yields from (34) that

$$\frac{B_1 - \cosh(A_1)}{\sqrt{B_1^2 - 1}} = \frac{B_3 - \cosh(A_3)}{\sqrt{B_3^2 - 1}} \quad (40)$$

$$\frac{\sinh(A_1)}{B_1 - \cosh(A_1)} = \frac{\sinh(A_3)}{B_3 - \cosh(A_3)} \quad (41)$$

It is straightforward to show that the only solution to these equations is $A_1 = A_3 = A, B_1 = B_3 = B$.

Consider next matching the solutions at the points (z_1, z_2) . Eqs. (35) yield

$$\frac{B - \cosh(c_+(z-L) + A)}{\sqrt{B^2 - 1}} = \frac{B_2 - \cosh(c_+z + A_2)}{\sqrt{B_2^2 - 1}} \quad (42)$$

$$\frac{B - \cosh(c_+(z-L) - A)}{\sqrt{B^2 - 1}} = \frac{B_2 - \cosh(c_+z - A_2)}{\sqrt{B_2^2 - 1}} \quad (43)$$

whereas

$$\frac{2c_+ \sinh(c_+(z-L) + A)}{B - \cosh(c_+(z-L) + A)} - \frac{2c_+ \sinh(c_+z + A_2)}{B_2 - \cosh(c_+z + A_2)} = -\kappa\sqrt{\gamma}m_1 \quad (44)$$

$$\frac{2c_+ \sinh(c_+(z-L) - A)}{B - \cosh(c_+(z-L) - A)} - \frac{2c_+ \sinh(c_+z - A_2)}{B_2 - \cosh(c_+z - A_2)} = -\kappa\sqrt{\gamma}m_2 \quad (45)$$

follow from eqs. (36).

Eqs. (42-45) constitute a set of four equations for the four unknowns A, B, A_2 , and B_2 . Although their general solution is quite complicated, the ansatz

$$B_2 = B \quad (46)$$

immediately solves (42,43) as long as

$$c_+(z-L) + A = \pm(c_+z + A_2) \quad \text{and} \quad c_+(z-L) - A = \pm(c_+z + A_2) \quad (47)$$

From eqs. (44,45), we find that

$$A_2 = -A \quad \text{and} \quad z = L/2 \quad (48)$$

or else $m_1 = m_2 = 0$. After some algebra we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} B &= \left\{ \frac{2c_+}{\kappa\sqrt{\gamma}} \left\{ \frac{m_2 - m_1}{m_1 m_2} \cosh\left(\frac{c_+L}{2}\right) \sinh \alpha \right\} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left[\frac{m_2 + m_1}{m_1 m_2} \sinh\left(\frac{c_+L}{2}\right) + \frac{\kappa\sqrt{\gamma}}{2c_+} \cosh\left(\frac{c_+L}{2}\right) \right] \cosh \alpha \right\} \\ &\equiv \beta \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

$$A = \tanh^{-1} \left[\frac{\frac{m_2 - m_1}{m_1 m_2} \sinh\left(\frac{c_+L}{2}\right)}{\frac{m_2 + m_1}{m_1 m_2} \cosh\left(\frac{c_+L}{2}\right) + \frac{\kappa\sqrt{\gamma}}{2c_+} \sinh\left(\frac{c_+L}{2}\right)} \right] \equiv \alpha \quad (50)$$

as the solution to (44,45).

This yields

$$\Psi = -2 \ln [\beta - \cosh(c_+f(x) + \alpha)] - 2 \ln \left[\frac{\kappa \Pi_0}{c_+ \sqrt{\beta^2 - 1}} \right] \quad (51)$$

for the dilaton, where

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x - L & x > L/2 \\ -x & L/2 > x > -L/2 \\ x + L & -L/2 > x \end{cases} \quad (52)$$

is the continuous sawtooth function, with kinks at the locations of the particles. Substitution of (51) into (32) yields

$$\kappa\Pi = \frac{\pm c_+ \sqrt{\beta^2 - 1}}{\beta - \cosh(c_+ f(x) + \alpha)} \quad (53)$$

as the solution for Π .

Consider next eq. (16), which can be written as

$$N_0'' = \dot{\tau}\gamma + N_0 \left\{ c_+^2 + \kappa/2 \sum_a \sqrt{\gamma} m_a \delta(x - z_a(x^0)) \right\} \quad (54)$$

Proceeding as before, the general solution is

$$N_{0I} = -\frac{\dot{\tau}\gamma}{c_+^2} + \lambda_I \cosh(c_+(x + (I-2)L) + C_1) \quad (55)$$

The smoothness conditions at the join are the same as in eqs. (34), with Ψ replaced by N_0 . These imply $\lambda_1 = \lambda_3$ and $C_1 = C_3 = C$. The same is true for conditions (35), which then yield $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$ and $C_2 = -C$. The junction conditions (36), however, are replaced by the conditions

$$N'_{01}(z) - N'_{02}(z) = \frac{\kappa\sqrt{\gamma}}{2} m_1 N_{01}(z) \quad N'_{02}(-z) - N'_{03}(-z) = \frac{\kappa\sqrt{\gamma}}{2} m_2 N_{02}(-z) \quad (56)$$

where $z = L/2$. After addition and subtraction we find

$$\lambda_I = \frac{\dot{\tau}\gamma}{\beta c_+^2} \quad C = \alpha \quad (57)$$

so that

$$N_0 = \frac{\dot{\tau}\gamma}{\beta c_+^2} (\cosh(c_+ f(x) + \alpha) - \beta) \quad (58)$$

where $f(x)$ is the sawtooth function given in (52).

Integration of (17) yields

$$N_{II} = \gamma \frac{\dot{c}_+}{c_+} \tilde{f}(x) - \frac{\gamma^2 \tau \dot{\tau}}{c_+^3 \beta} \sinh(c_+ \tilde{f}(x) + f'(x)\alpha) + K_I \quad (59)$$

where the K_I are constants of integration and

$$\tilde{f}(x) = \begin{cases} x - L & x > L/2 \\ x & L/2 > x > -L/2 \\ x + L & -L/2 > x \end{cases} \quad (60)$$

is the discontinuous sawtooth function, with discontinuities at the locations $x = \pm \frac{L}{2}$ of the particles. However the function $N_1(x, t)$ must be continuous at these locations and smooth at $x = \pm L$, which implies that

$$\beta c_+^2 \dot{c}_+ L - \gamma \tau \dot{\tau} \left[\sinh\left(\frac{c_+ L}{2} + \alpha\right) + \sinh\left(\frac{c_+ L}{2} - \alpha\right) \right] = 0 \quad (61a)$$

$$K_1 = K_3 = -K_2 = \frac{\gamma^2 \tau \dot{\tau}}{2c_+^3 \beta} \left[\sinh\left(\frac{c_+ L}{2} + \alpha\right) - \sinh\left(\frac{c_+ L}{2} - \alpha\right) \right] \quad (61b)$$

where the latter condition holds since $N_1(x, t)$ must vanish at the locations z_a of the particles from eq. (21).

Employing the definition of β from (49) we find from (61a)

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{m_2 - m_1}{m_1 m_2} \sinh \alpha \frac{d}{d\tau} \sinh\left(\frac{c_+ L}{2}\right) \\ & + \cosh \alpha \frac{d}{d\tau} \left[\frac{m_2 + m_1}{m_1 m_2} \cosh\left(\frac{c_+ L}{2}\right) + \frac{\kappa \sqrt{\gamma}}{2c_+} \sinh\left(\frac{c_+ L}{2}\right) \right] = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

which from (50) integrates to

$$\left[\cosh\left(\frac{c_+ L}{2}\right) + \frac{\kappa M \sqrt{\gamma}}{2c_+} \sinh\left(\frac{c_+ L}{2}\right) \right]^2 - \Delta^2 \sinh^2\left(\frac{c_+ L}{2}\right) = \xi^2 \quad (63)$$

where

$$M = \frac{m_1 m_2}{m_2 + m_1} \quad \Delta = \frac{m_2 - m_1}{m_2 + m_1} \quad (64)$$

and ξ is a constant of integration.

Inverting this equation to find $\sqrt{\gamma}$ as a function of τ , we find

$$\sqrt{\gamma} = \frac{2}{L \sqrt{\tau^2 - \Lambda/2}} \operatorname{arctanh} \left(\frac{\xi \sqrt{\frac{\kappa^2 M^2}{4} + (\xi^2 - 1)(1 - \Delta^2/\xi^2)(\tau^2 - \Lambda/2)} - \frac{\kappa M}{2} \sqrt{\tau^2 - \Lambda/2}}{\frac{\kappa^2 M^2}{4} + \xi^2 (1 - \Delta^2/\xi^2)(\tau^2 - \Lambda/2)} \right) \quad (65)$$

and subsequently

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \operatorname{arctanh} \left[\Delta \frac{\frac{\kappa M}{2} \xi^2 - \xi \sqrt{\frac{\kappa^2 m^2}{4} + (\xi^2 - 1)(1 - \Delta^2/\xi^2)(\tau^2 - \Lambda/2)}}{(\Delta^2 - \xi^2)(\tau^2 - \Lambda/2) + \frac{\kappa^2 m^2}{4} \xi^2} \sqrt{(\tau^2 - \Lambda/2)} \right] \\ \beta &= \frac{2}{\kappa M \xi} \left((\Delta - 1)^2 (\tau^2 - \Lambda/2) - \frac{\kappa^2 m^2}{4} \right)^{-1} \left((\Delta + 1)^2 (\tau^2 - \Lambda/2) - \frac{\kappa^2 m^2}{4} \right)^{-1} \\ & \quad \left[\kappa M \Delta^2 (\tau^2 - \Lambda/2) \left((\Delta^2 + 1 - 2\xi^2)(\tau^2 - \Lambda/2) - \frac{\kappa^2 m^2}{4} \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \xi \left((\Delta^2 + 1)(\tau^2 - \Lambda/2) - \frac{\kappa^2 m^2}{4} \right) \left((\Delta^2 - 1)(\tau^2 - \Lambda/2) + \frac{\kappa^2 m^2}{4} \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \sqrt{\frac{\kappa^2 m^2}{4} + (\xi^2 - 1)(1 - \Delta^2/\xi^2)(\tau^2 - \Lambda/2)} \right] \end{aligned}$$

from eqs. (49,50).

eq. (18) can be simplified to

$$[\ln(\kappa\Pi)]' - \frac{N_1'}{\gamma} - \frac{N_1}{\gamma}[\ln(\kappa\Pi)]' = 0 \quad (66)$$

since $N_0 \exp \Psi/2$ is independent of x . Insertion of (53) and (59) into the left-hand side (66) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\cosh(c_+x - \alpha) - \beta} \left[\frac{1}{\beta} \left(\frac{\beta\dot{\beta}}{\beta^2 - 1} - \frac{\gamma^2\tau\dot{\tau}}{c_+^2} \right) (\beta \cosh(c_+x - \alpha) - 1) \right. \\ \left. - \sinh(c_+x - \alpha) \left(\dot{\alpha} - \frac{\gamma^2\tau\dot{\tau}}{c_+^2} \cosh\left(\frac{c_+L}{2}\right) \sinh(\alpha) \right) \right] = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$

in the region $|x| < L/2$.

The preceding equation (67) has no solutions unless $\Delta = 0$. The problem is that each term has to vanish separately, ie.

$$\left(\frac{\beta\dot{\beta}}{\beta^2 - 1} - \frac{\gamma^2\tau\dot{\tau}}{c_+^2} \right) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \dot{\alpha} - \frac{\gamma^2\tau\dot{\tau}}{c_+^2} \cosh\left(\frac{c_+L}{2}\right) \sinh(\alpha) = 0$$

and this is not allowed from the definitions of α and β . This peculiar situation suggests (within the context of our ansatz) that only the equal mass case is allowed as a solution to the field equations due to the consistent time-evolution of Π . It is remarkable that the unequal mass case can be exactly integrated, yet the $\ddot{\Pi}$ equation cannot be solved. When this calculation is repeated for the $c^2 = c_-^2 < 0$ case the same result occurs: the field equations can only be solved when the particles have equal mass.

4 Two-Particle Case

Because the total charge of the system must be zero there are no solutions for a single charged particle. We consider in this section the two-particle case, which is the simplest non-trivial charged circular self-gravitating system.

Since there is freedom in choosing the origin we choose the origin to be halfway between the two particles, which are thus located at z and $-z$. Since the total charge is zero we can assume (without loss of generality) that the particle at $-z$ has charge q and the particle at z has charge $-q$. Therefore the electric field is given by the equation $E = \frac{1}{2}q(\epsilon(x+z) - \epsilon(x-z)) + E_0$ in this case (and consequently $E^2 = (\frac{1}{2}q^2 + qE_0)(\epsilon(x+z) - \epsilon(x-z)) + E_0^2$).

We next consider solving the field equations (23)-(30). The solution to equation (23) yields the result

$$\Pi = \Pi_0(t) \exp(\Psi/2), \quad (68)$$

where $\Pi_0(t)$ is an arbitrary function. It is useful at this stage to introduce some new variables

$$\Lambda_{out} = \Lambda - \kappa E_0^2, \quad (69)$$

$$\Lambda_{in} = \Lambda - \kappa(q^2 + 2E_0q + E_0^2) \quad (70)$$

so that in equations (24) and (25) the term κE^2 is essentially just an adjustment to the value of the cosmological constant Λ . This is similar to the result of (1+1) lineal gravity with charge in which the electric field becomes sort of a “local” cosmological constant. By introducing Λ_{out} and Λ_{in} it is possible to write

$$\gamma(\tau^2 - \Lambda/2 + \kappa E^2/2) = \begin{cases} \gamma(\tau^2 - \Lambda_{out}/2) \equiv c^2 & \text{when } |x| > z \\ \gamma(\tau^2 - \Lambda_{in}/2) \equiv d^2 & \text{when } |x| < z \end{cases} \quad (71)$$

where x is periodic with period $2L$.

We shall assume that $c^2 = c_+^2 > 0, d^2 = d_+^2 > 0$. The analysis for $c^2 = c_-^2 < 0, d^2 = d_-^2 < 0$ is fully analogous and we shall only discuss the results for this alternate case.

4.1 Solving for N_0

In order to solve for N_0 we will first integrate (25) across the particles to get the following two matching conditions across the particles for N'_0 :

$$N'_0(z + \epsilon) - N'_0(z - \epsilon) = \frac{\kappa m \sqrt{\gamma}}{2} N_0(z), \quad (72)$$

$$N'_0(-z + \epsilon) - N'_0(-z - \epsilon) = \frac{\kappa m \sqrt{\gamma}}{2} N_0(-z) \quad (73)$$

Then we also assume that N_0 should be a continuous function, which gives the following matching conditions

$$N_0(z - \epsilon) = N_0(z + \epsilon), \quad (74)$$

$$N_0(-z - \epsilon) = N_0(-z + \epsilon) \quad (75)$$

where the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ is taken throughout.

Solving (25) individually in the regions between the particles is straightforward and leads to a general solution for N_0

$$N_0 = \begin{cases} A \cosh(d_+ x) + E \sinh(d_+ x) - \frac{i\gamma}{d_+^2}, & |x| < z \\ C \sinh(c_+(L - |x|)) + D \cosh(c_+(L - |x|)) - \frac{i\gamma}{c_+^2}, & |x| > z \end{cases} \quad (76)$$

, where $A(t), E(t), C(t)$ and $D(t)$ are x -independent. We can then use the four matching conditions across the particles and the smoothness conditions to solve

for the four unknowns A, E, C, D :

$$E = C = 0 \quad (77)$$

$$D = A \frac{\cosh(d_+ z)}{\cosh(c_+(z-L))} + \frac{\dot{\tau}\gamma}{\cosh(c_+(z-L))} \left(\frac{1}{c_+^2} - \frac{1}{d_+^2} \right) \quad (78)$$

$$A = \left(\frac{\dot{\tau}\gamma}{B d_+^2} \right) \left(\frac{\kappa m \sqrt{\gamma}}{2} + c_+ d_+^2 \tanh(c_+(z-L)) \left(\frac{1}{c_+^2} - \frac{1}{d_+^2} \right) \right) \quad (79)$$

where

$$B = \frac{\kappa m \sqrt{\gamma}}{2} \cosh(d_+ z) + d_+ \sinh(d_+ z) - c_+ \cosh(d_+ z) \tanh(c_+(z-L)) \quad (80)$$

or we can write D in the form

$$D = \frac{\kappa m \sqrt{\gamma}}{2} \left(\frac{\dot{\tau}\gamma}{B d_+^2} \right) \frac{\cosh(d_+ z)}{\cosh(c_+(z-L))} + \left(\frac{c_+}{B} \tanh(c_+(z-L)) \cosh(d_+ z) + 1 \right) \frac{\dot{\tau}\gamma}{\cosh(c_+(z-L))} \left(\frac{1}{c_+^2} - \frac{1}{d_+^2} \right) \quad (81)$$

Note the resulting solution also has the following the symmetry properties

$$\begin{aligned} N_0(-z+x) &= N_0(z-x), & N_0(-z-x) &= N_0(z+x) \\ N'_0(-z+x) &= -N'_0(z-x), & N'_0(-z-x) &= -N'_0(z+x) \end{aligned}$$

4.2 Solving for Ψ

To obtain the solution for Ψ we make use of an ansatz previously employed in the neutral N -body case [1]

$$\Psi = -2 \ln(-N_0(x) \frac{\beta h^2}{\dot{\tau}\gamma}) - 2 \ln \left(\frac{\kappa \Pi_0}{h \sqrt{\beta^2 - 1}} \right) \quad (82)$$

where

$$h \equiv \begin{cases} c_+, & |x| > z \\ d_+, & |x| < z \end{cases} \quad (83)$$

$$\beta \equiv \begin{cases} \beta_{out}, & |x| > z \\ \beta_{in}, & |x| < z \end{cases} \quad (84)$$

Note that β_{out} and β_{in} are constants in terms of x . Using this ansatz along with equations (25) and (68) we find

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi' &= -2 \frac{N'_0}{N_0} \\ \Psi'' &= -2 \frac{N''_0}{N_0} + 2 \left(\frac{N'_0}{N_0} \right)^2 = -\frac{2\dot{\tau}\gamma}{N_0} + 2 \left(\frac{N'_0}{N_0} \right)^2 - 2h^2 - \kappa \sum_a \sqrt{\gamma} m \delta(x - z_a) \\ (\kappa \Pi)^2 &= (\kappa \Pi_0)^2 \exp(\Psi) = \frac{(\dot{\tau}\gamma)^2 (\beta^2 - 1)}{N_0^2 \beta^2 h^2} \end{aligned}$$

Inserting these results into (24) leads to the equation

$$(N'_0)^2 - 2\dot{\tau}\gamma N_0 - h^2 N_0^2 - \frac{(\dot{\tau}\gamma)^2(\beta^2 - 1)}{\beta^2 h^2} = 0$$

and substituting the equation for N_0 into each region individually allows the calculation of β_{out} and β_{in} . For example when $|x| > z$

$$D^2 c_+^2 (\sinh^2(c_+(L - |x|)) - \cosh^2(c_+(L - |x|))) = -\frac{(\dot{\tau}\gamma)^2}{c_+^2 \beta_{out}^2}$$

or alternatively $\beta_{out} = \frac{\dot{\tau}\gamma}{Dc_+^2}$ (85)

A similar calculation for $|x| < z$ yields

$$\beta_{in} = \frac{\dot{\tau}\gamma}{Ad_+^2} \quad (86)$$

To summarize, the solution for (24) is given by the equation

$$\Psi = \begin{cases} -2 \ln(-N_0(x)) - 2 \ln\left(\frac{\kappa \Pi_0 c_+ \beta_{out}}{\dot{\tau}\gamma \sqrt{\beta_{out}^2 - 1}}\right), & |x| > z \\ -2 \ln(-N_0(x)) - 2 \ln\left(\frac{\kappa \Pi_0 d_+ \beta_{in}}{\dot{\tau}\gamma \sqrt{\beta_{in}^2 - 1}}\right), & |x| < z \end{cases} \quad (87)$$

where β_{out} and β_{in} are respectively given by eqs. (85) and (86).

4.3 Solving for N_1

Integrating (17) yields the general solution for N_1

$$N_1 = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{\dot{\gamma}}{2} + \frac{\gamma^2 \tau \dot{\tau}}{c_+^2}\right)x - \frac{\gamma \tau D}{c_+} \sinh(c_+(x + L)) + K_1, & x < -z \\ \left(\frac{\dot{\gamma}}{2} + \frac{\gamma^2 \tau \dot{\tau}}{d_+^2}\right)x - \frac{\gamma \tau A}{d_+} \sinh(d_+ x) + K_2, & -z < x < z \\ \left(\frac{\dot{\gamma}}{2} + \frac{\gamma^2 \tau \dot{\tau}}{c_+^2}\right)x - \frac{\gamma \tau D}{c_+} \sinh(c_+(x - L)) + K_3, & x > z \end{cases} \quad (88)$$

To find the unknowns K_1, K_2 , and K_3 in eq. (88), it is convenient to use the constraint equations (30) for N_1 . The constraint $N_1(z_a) = 0$ implies that

$$\begin{aligned} N_1(-z - \epsilon) &= 0, & N_1(-z + \epsilon) &= 0 \\ N_1(z - \epsilon) &= 0, & N_1(z + \epsilon) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Together $N_1(-z + \epsilon) = 0$ and $N_1(z - \epsilon) = 0$ imply that $K_2 = 0$ and

$$\gamma \left(\frac{\dot{d}_+}{d_+} z - \frac{\tau A}{d_+} \sinh(d_+ z) \right) = 0 \quad (89)$$

where we have employed the following identities

$$\gamma \frac{\dot{c}_+}{c_+} = \left(\frac{\dot{\gamma}}{2} + \frac{\gamma^2 \tau \dot{\tau}}{c_+^2} \right), \quad \gamma \frac{\dot{d}_+}{d_+} = \left(\frac{\dot{\gamma}}{2} + \frac{\gamma^2 \tau \dot{\tau}}{d_+^2} \right) \quad (90)$$

that follow from eq. (71).

Equation (89) is a new relation between the functions d_+, γ and A . Using $N_1(-z - \epsilon) = 0$ and $N_1(z + \epsilon) = 0$ along with the condition $N_1(-L) = N_1(L)$ yields

$$K_1 = -K_3 = \gamma \frac{\dot{c}_+}{c_+} L \quad (91)$$

$$\gamma \left(\frac{\dot{c}_+}{c_+} (z - L) - \frac{\tau D}{c_+} \sinh(c_+(z - L)) \right) = 0 \quad (92)$$

where (92) is another new relation between the various functions. So the solution for N_1 is

$$N_1 = \begin{cases} \gamma \frac{\dot{c}_+}{c_+} (x + L) - \frac{\tau D}{c_+} \sinh(c_+(x + L)), & x < -z \\ \gamma \frac{\dot{d}_+}{d_+} x - \frac{\tau A}{d_+} \sinh(d_+ x), & -z < x < z \\ \gamma \frac{\dot{c}_+}{c_+} (x - L) - \frac{\tau D}{c_+} \sinh(c_+(x - L)), & x > z \end{cases} \quad (93)$$

with (30) now being represented by the constraints

$$\frac{\dot{d}_+}{d_+} z - \frac{\tau A}{d_+} \sinh(d_+ z) = 0 \quad (94)$$

$$\frac{\dot{c}_+}{c_+} (z - L) - \frac{\tau D}{c_+} \sinh(c_+(z - L)) = 0 \quad (95)$$

4.4 Constraint equations

We must now verify that the constraint equations (29), (94), (95) can all be satisfied. It is useful to introduce a new parameter

$$n \equiv \frac{\Lambda_{out} - \Lambda_{in}}{2} = \frac{\kappa q}{2} (q + 2E_0) \quad (96)$$

so that $d_+^2 = c_+^2 + \gamma n$, or

$$\frac{1}{c_+^2} - \frac{1}{d_+^2} = \frac{\gamma n}{c_+^2 d_+^2} \quad (97)$$

The constraint (29) can then be written as

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = & d_+ \tanh(d_+ z) \frac{2\sqrt{\gamma}}{m\kappa} \left[n + \left(\frac{\kappa m}{2} \right)^2 \right] + 2 \frac{\gamma n}{c_+} d_+ \tanh(d_+ z) \tanh(c_+(z - L)) \\ & + c_+ \tanh(c_+(z - L)) \left[\frac{2\gamma^{\frac{3}{2}} n}{m\kappa c_+^2} \left(\left(\frac{\kappa m}{2} \right)^2 - n \right) + \frac{2\sqrt{\gamma}}{m\kappa} \left(\left(\frac{\kappa m}{2} \right)^2 - n \right) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (98)$$

where the calculational details are given in the appendix. Rather than first attempting to solve this equation in full generality, we instead consider specific values of n .

The simplest case to examine is when $n = 0$, or $q = -2E_0$. eq. (98) reduces to

$$0 = c_+ \frac{\sqrt{\gamma} \kappa m}{2} (\tanh(c_+ z) + \tanh(c_+(z - L)))$$

which in turn implies that $z = \frac{L}{2}$, and so the particles must be located at $\frac{L}{2}$ and $-\frac{L}{2}$. The electric field is therefore given by $E = \frac{1}{2}q(\epsilon(x + z) - \epsilon(x - z) - 1)$ or more explicitly

$$E = -\frac{1}{2}q, |x| > z, |x| < z$$

Note that $E^2 = \frac{q^2}{4}$, which is constant, so the magnitude of the field doesn't change with position.

We next rewrite (94) and (95) in a more convenient form. After some manipulation, (94) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & d_+^2 \dot{d}_+ z \left(\frac{\kappa m \sqrt{\gamma}}{2} \cosh(d_+ z) + d_+ \sinh(d_+ z) - c_+ \cosh(d_+ z) \tanh(c_+(z - L)) \right) \\ & - \gamma \tau \dot{\tau} \left(\frac{\kappa m \sqrt{\gamma}}{2} + \frac{\gamma n}{c_+} \tanh(c_+(z - L)) \right) \sinh(d_+ z) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (99)$$

and (95) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \dot{c}_+(z - L) - \tau \left(\frac{\dot{\tau} \gamma}{B d_+^2} \right) \left(\frac{\kappa m \sqrt{\gamma}}{2} + \frac{\gamma n}{c_+} \tanh(c_+(z - L)) \right) \cosh(d_+ z) \tanh(c_+(z - L)) \\ & - \tau \dot{\tau} \gamma \left(\frac{\gamma n}{c_+^2 d_+^2} \right) \tanh(c_+(z - L)) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (100)$$

Since $n = 0$, $c_+ = d_+$. Recalling that $z = \frac{L}{2}$, we have

$$c_+^2 \dot{c}_+ \frac{L}{2} \left(\frac{\kappa m \sqrt{\gamma}}{2} \cosh(c_+ \frac{L}{2}) + 2c_+ \sinh(c_+ \frac{L}{2}) \right) - \gamma \tau \dot{\tau} \frac{\kappa m \sqrt{\gamma}}{2} \sinh(c_+ \frac{L}{2}) = 0 \quad (101)$$

for (99). It is straightforward to show that (100) reduces to the same equation. eq. (101) can be integrated to yield

$$\cosh(\sqrt{\gamma(\tau^2 - \Lambda_{out}/2)} \frac{L}{2}) + \frac{\kappa m \sqrt{\gamma}}{4c_+} \sinh(\sqrt{\gamma(\tau^2 - \Lambda_{out}/2)} \frac{L}{2}) = \xi$$

where ξ is a constant of integration. It is then possible to solve for $\sqrt{\gamma}$

$$\sqrt{\gamma} = \frac{2}{L \sqrt{\tau^2 - \Lambda_{out}/2}} \arctan h \left(\frac{\xi \sqrt{\frac{\kappa^2 m^2}{64} + (\xi^2 - 1)(\tau^2 - \Lambda_{out}/2)} - \frac{\kappa m}{8}}{\frac{\kappa^2 m^2}{64} + \xi^2 (\tau^2 - \Lambda_{out}/2)} \sqrt{(\tau^2 - \Lambda_{out}/2)} \right) \quad (102)$$

One might expect that a solution of (98) for general n would provide information on the locations of the particles. However due to the extra terms present when $n \neq 0$, it does not appear that either of these constraint equations is a total derivative. For example, consider setting $n = (\frac{\kappa m}{2})^2$ so as to simplify the equation as much as possible. In this case eq. (98) reduces to

$$0 = d_+ \tanh(d_+ z) \kappa m \sqrt{\gamma} \left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{\gamma} \kappa m}{2c_+} \tanh(c_+(z-L)) \right)$$

This implies either

$$d_+ z = 0$$

or

$$\tanh(c_+(z-L)) = -\frac{2c_+}{\kappa m \sqrt{\gamma}}$$

We can only satisfy the first equation by setting $z = 0$. However this corresponds to both particles at the origin, i.e. a single particle at the origin with mass $2m$ and no charge; this was previously solved [17].

Turning to the second equation, since z is independent of time we can regard this equation as yielding a solution for γ as a function of τ . A problem occurs because there are more constraint equations that need to be satisfied, and in the uncharged case the other constraint equations are the equations that determine the time dependence of γ .

In this case the other constraint equations cannot be satisfied. This can be most easily seen by applying this result to (100). Substituting $\tanh(c_+(z-L)) = -\frac{2c_+}{\kappa m \sqrt{\gamma}}$ and $n = (\frac{\kappa m}{2})^2$ into (100) yields the following result

$$\dot{c}_+(z-L) + \frac{\gamma \tau \dot{\tau}}{d_+^2} \left(\frac{\kappa m \sqrt{\gamma}}{2c_+} \right) = 0$$

Now $d_+^2 = c_+^2 + \gamma n = c_+^2 + \gamma (\frac{\kappa m}{2})^2 = c_+^2 (1 + (\frac{\kappa m \sqrt{\gamma}}{2c_+})^2)$, so

$$\dot{c}_+ = -\frac{1}{z-L} \frac{\kappa m \sqrt{\gamma}}{2c_+} \frac{\gamma \tau \dot{\tau}}{c_+^2} \frac{1}{1 + (\frac{\kappa m \sqrt{\gamma}}{2c_+})^2} \quad (103)$$

But $\tanh(c_+(z-L)) = -\frac{2c_+}{\kappa m \sqrt{\gamma}}$ gives us a solution for c_+ i.e.

$$c_+ = \frac{1}{z-L} \tanh^{-1} \left(\frac{2c_+}{\kappa m \sqrt{\gamma}} \right) = \frac{1}{z-L} \tanh^{-1} \left(-\frac{2\sqrt{\tau^2 - \Lambda_{out}/2}}{\kappa m} \right)$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{c}_+ &= -\frac{1}{z-L} \frac{1}{1 - (\frac{2c_+}{\kappa m \sqrt{\gamma}})^2} \frac{2}{\kappa m} \frac{\tau \dot{\tau}}{\sqrt{\tau^2 - \Lambda_{out}/2}} \\ \dot{c}_+ &= -\frac{1}{z-L} \frac{1}{(\frac{\kappa m \sqrt{\gamma}}{2c_+})^2 - 1} \frac{\kappa m \sqrt{\gamma}}{2c_+} \frac{\gamma \tau \dot{\tau}}{c_+^2} \end{aligned} \quad (104)$$

Comparing eqs. (103) and (104) implies that $\frac{2c_+}{\kappa m \sqrt{\gamma}} = 0$, ie. $c_+ = 0$ which contradicts our initial assumption that $c_+^2 > 0$. The same problem occurs when the case $c^2 = c_-^2 < 0$ when looking at the case $n = (\frac{\kappa m}{2})^2$.

Another simplification occurs if $n = -(\frac{\kappa m}{2})^2$, Similar manipulations yield

$$\tanh(d_+ z) = \frac{2d_+}{\kappa m \sqrt{\gamma}}$$

which is of the same form as the previous result. Again this is a problem because the other constraint equations are not satisfied. While these results don't rule out solutions for all $n \neq 0$, they illustrate the problems in finding them. In fact intuitively one might think that $n = -(\frac{\kappa m}{2})^2$ and $n = (\frac{\kappa m}{2})^2$ provide bounds on n .

We have been unsuccessful in finding any further ansatze that could solve the constraint equations. Further progress might be attained by attempting to solve the constraint equations numerically for different values of n to see if solutions exist for $n \neq 0$. However our initial attempts at solving the equations numerically have uncovered further difficulties: the problem is that the system appears to be overconstrained when $n \neq 0$. When $n = 0$ then (99) and (100) both reduce to the same constraint, but this not the case for arbitrary n .

We turn now to consideration of the $n = 0$ case.

4.5 The $n = 0$ solution

When $n = 0$ the previous solutions of N_0, Ψ, N_1 simplify and the constraint equations derived from (29) and (30) are satisfied. The solutions can be written as follows

$$\Pi = \Pi_0(t) \exp(\Psi/2), \quad (105)$$

$$N_0 = \frac{\dot{\tau}\gamma}{\beta c_+^2} \cosh(c_+ f(x)) - \frac{\dot{\tau}\gamma}{c_+^2} \quad (106)$$

where

$$\beta = \cosh(c_+ \frac{L}{2}) + \frac{4c_+}{\kappa m \sqrt{\gamma}} \sinh(c_+ \frac{L}{2})$$

and

$$f(x) = \{|x|, |x| < \frac{L}{2} L - |x|, |x| > \frac{L}{2}\} \quad (107)$$

is the saw-tooth function that has magnitude zero halfway between the particles and magnitude $\frac{L}{2}$ at the locations of the particles. We also have

$$N_1 = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \gamma \frac{\dot{c}_+}{c_+} (x + L) - \frac{\gamma^2 \dot{\tau} \tau}{c_+^3 \beta} \sinh(c_+ (x + L)), x < -\frac{L}{2} \\ \gamma \frac{\dot{c}_+}{c_+} x - \frac{\gamma^2 \dot{\tau} \tau}{c_+^3 \beta} \sinh(c_+ x), -\frac{L}{2} < x < \frac{L}{2} \\ \gamma \frac{\dot{c}_+}{c_+} (x - L) - \frac{\gamma^2 \dot{\tau} \tau}{c_+^3 \beta} \sinh(c_+ (x - L)), x > \frac{L}{2} \end{array} \right\} \quad (108)$$

$$\Psi = -2 \ln(\beta - \cosh(c_+ f(x))) - 2 \ln \left(\frac{\kappa \Pi_0}{c_+ \sqrt{\beta^2 - 1}} \right) \quad (109)$$

Notice that eq. (105) and (109) together imply

$$\kappa \Pi = \frac{\pm c_+ \sqrt{\beta^2 - 1}}{\beta - \cosh(c_+ f(x))} \quad (110)$$

and solving (27) we obtain

$$\Pi_0 = \sqrt{\gamma} \zeta^2 \left(\tau \pm \sqrt{\frac{\kappa^2 m^2}{16(\xi^2 - 1)} + (\tau^2 - \Lambda_e/2)} \right)$$

Using the solution (102) or the metric

$$\sqrt{\gamma} = \frac{2}{L \sqrt{\tau^2 - \Lambda_e/2}} \operatorname{arctanh} \left(\frac{\xi \sqrt{\frac{\kappa^2 m^2}{16} + (\xi^2 - 1)(\tau^2 - \Lambda_e/2)} - \frac{\kappa m}{4}}{\frac{\kappa^2 m^2}{16} + \xi^2 (\tau^2 - \Lambda_e/2)} \sqrt{(\tau^2 - \Lambda_e/2)} \right) \quad (111)$$

allows us to rewrite

$$\beta = \frac{4}{\kappa m} \sqrt{\frac{\kappa^2 m^2}{16} + (\xi^2 - 1)(\tau^2 - \Lambda_e/2)} \quad (112)$$

These equations are the solution for when $c^2 = c_+^2 > 0$ but solutions also exist when $c^2 = c_-^2 < 0$ which can be obtained by substituting $c_+ \rightarrow ic_-$ into the above results. The solution for the metric function then becomes

$$\sqrt{\gamma} = \frac{2}{L \sqrt{\Lambda_e/2 - \tau^2}} \left[\operatorname{arctan} \left(\frac{\xi \sqrt{\frac{\kappa^2 m^2}{16} + (1 - \xi^2)(\Lambda_e/2 - \tau^2)} - \frac{\kappa m}{4}}{\frac{\kappa^2 m^2}{16} - \xi^2 (\Lambda_e/2 - \tau^2)} \sqrt{(\Lambda_e/2 - \tau^2)} \right) + k\pi \right] \quad (113)$$

where k is an integer and $|\xi| < \sqrt{1 + \frac{(\kappa m)^2}{8\Lambda_e}}$ as a consequence of the periodicity of $N_1(x, t)$. No solutions exist for $c^2 = 0$.

Note that in either case the two particles remain in equilibrium in the sense that the ratio of the proper lengths between them as computed from either the rightward or the leftward side of the particle at $z = 0$ is constant. These solutions are very similar to those obtained in the neutral system [1], the key difference being that cosmological constant has been replaced by $\Lambda_e = \Lambda - \kappa E^2$. The electric field is constant in magnitude but alternates in sign between each the particles. This result can be easily generalized to N particles.

5 Charged N-Body Solution

The only difference between eqs. (23)-(30) and their counterparts in the neutral self-gravitating system is the presence of E^2 in equations (24),(25) and the

term $\int dx N_0 \sqrt{\gamma} E \frac{dE}{dz_a}$ in equation (29). Using the ansatz $E^2 = \text{constant}$, it is possible to eliminate E^2 in equations (24),(25) by introducing $\Lambda_e = \Lambda - \kappa E^2$. Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{z_a-\epsilon}^{z_a+\epsilon} dx E \frac{dE}{dz_a} &= \int_{z_a-\epsilon}^{z_a+\epsilon} dx E \frac{dE}{dx} = (E^2)|_{z_a-\epsilon}^{z_a+\epsilon} - \int_{z_a-\epsilon}^{z_a+\epsilon} dx E \frac{dE}{dx} \\ \int_{z_a-\epsilon}^{z_a+\epsilon} dx E \frac{dE}{dx} &= \frac{1}{2} (E^2)|_{z_a-\epsilon}^{z_a+\epsilon} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

when E^2 is constant. This implies that $\int dx N_0 \sqrt{\gamma} E \frac{dE}{dz_a} = 0$, since $\int dx N_0 \sqrt{\gamma} E \frac{dE}{dz_a} = N_0 \sqrt{\gamma} \int dx E \frac{dE}{dz_a}$.

So an equilibrium solution for N particles with charge distributed evenly around the circle exists when E^2 is constant. This yields for $c_+^2 > 0$

$$\sqrt{\gamma} = \frac{N}{L\sqrt{\tau^2 - \Lambda_e/2}} \operatorname{arctanh} \left(\frac{\xi \sqrt{\frac{\kappa^2 M^2}{16N^2} + (\xi^2 - 1)(\tau^2 - \Lambda_e/2)} - \frac{\kappa M}{4N}}{\frac{\kappa^2 M^2}{16N^2} + \xi^2(\tau^2 - \Lambda_e/2)} \sqrt{\tau^2 - \Lambda_e/2} \right) \quad (114)$$

where $M = mN$ is the total mass of the system. We also have

$$\Psi = -2 \ln(\beta - \cosh(c_+ f(x))) - 2 \ln\left(\frac{\kappa \Pi_0(t)}{c_+ \sqrt{\beta^2 - 1}}\right) \quad (115)$$

$$N_0 = \frac{\dot{\tau} \gamma}{c_+^2 \beta} (\cosh(c_+ f(x)) - \beta) \quad (116)$$

$$N_1 = \gamma \frac{\dot{c}_+}{c_+} h(x) - \frac{\gamma^2 \dot{\tau}}{c_+^3 \beta} \sinh(c_+ h(x)) \quad (117)$$

where $f(x)$ is the saw-tooth function that peaks with a value of L/N (i.e. $f(z_a) = L/N$) at the particle locations and has zero magnitude half-way between the particles (i.e. $f(\frac{z_a + z_{a+1}}{2}) = 0$ so $f(\frac{z_a + z_{a+1}}{2} + y) = |y|$ for $|y| < L/N$). The function $h(x)$ is a function that has a jump discontinuity across the particles, and between two particles linearly changes from $-L/N$ to L/N (i.e. $h(\frac{z_a + z_{a+1}}{2} + y) = y$, for $|y| < L/N$). Notice that $|h(x)| = f(x)$ and $h'(x) = 1$ between the particles.

The functions β and Π_0 are given by

$$\beta = \frac{4N}{\kappa M} \sqrt{\frac{\kappa^2 M^2}{16N^2} + (\xi^2 - 1)(\tau^2 - \Lambda_e/2)} \quad (118)$$

$$\Pi_0 = \sqrt{\gamma} \zeta^2 \left(\tau \pm \sqrt{\frac{\kappa^2 M^2}{16N^2(\xi^2 - 1)} + (\tau^2 - \Lambda_e/2)} \right) \quad (119)$$

where ζ and ξ are integration constants. Then

$$\kappa \Pi = \frac{\pm c_+ \sqrt{\beta^2 - 1}}{\cosh(c_+ f(x)) - \beta} \quad (120)$$

It is possible to write the functions for Ψ, N_0, N_1 in a different form by using the following identity

$$\cosh(c_+ f(x)) = \frac{\sinh(\frac{c_+ L}{N})}{\sinh(c_+ L)} \sum_{a=1}^N \cosh(c_+ (|x - z_a| - L)) \quad (121)$$

whose proof is given in the appendix. Then for finding N_1 it is possible to integrate (26) to find the following expression

$$N_1 = \gamma \frac{c_+}{c_+} x - \frac{\gamma^2 \tau \dot{\tau}}{c_+^3 \beta} \frac{\sinh(\frac{c_+ L}{N})}{\sinh(c_+ L)} \sum_{a=1}^N \epsilon(x - z_a) [\sinh(c_+ (|x - z_a| - L)) + \sinh(c_+ L)]$$

As with the two particle case there are solutions for $c^2 = c_-^2 < 0$. These are obtained upon replacing $c_+ \rightarrow ic_-$ in the above results. The solution for the metric function γ now becomes

$$\sqrt{\gamma} = \frac{N}{L\sqrt{\Lambda_e/2 - \tau^2}} \left[\arctan \left(\frac{\xi \sqrt{\frac{\kappa^2 M^2}{16N^2} + (1 - \xi^2)(\Lambda_e/2 - \tau^2)} - \frac{\kappa M}{4N} \sqrt{\Lambda_e/2 - \tau^2}}{\frac{\kappa^2 M^2}{16N^2} - \xi^2(\Lambda_e/2 - \tau^2)} \right) + k\pi \right] \quad (122)$$

where k is an integer and the integration constant $|\xi| < \sqrt{1 + \frac{(\kappa M)^2}{8\Lambda_e N^2}}$ as a consequence of the periodicity of $N_1(x, t)$.

So an equilibrium solution exists for N charged bodies when E^2 is constant. The charges of the particles alternate in sign around the circle; since the total charge vanishes we have a solution only when N is an even number.

If the total number of particles is odd then some particles must remain uncharged. The simplest example is the 3-particle case, one particle has charge q , one has charge $-q$, and one particle has zero charge then it is possible to choose E_0 so that $E^2 = \text{const}$.

The previous results can be approximated for the case when we take the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$ but we keep the total mass of the ring M fixed .

For the case that $c_+^2 > 0$ then the solutions can be simplified to:

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{\gamma} &= \frac{N}{L\sqrt{\tau^2 - \Lambda_e/2}} \operatorname{arctanh} \left(\frac{\sqrt{(\xi^2 - 1)}}{\xi} \right) \\ c_+^2 &= \frac{N^2}{L^2} \operatorname{arctanh}^2 \left(\frac{\sqrt{(\xi^2 - 1)}}{\xi} \right) \\ \beta &= \frac{4N}{\kappa M} \sqrt{(\xi^2 - 1)(\tau^2 - \Lambda_e/2)} \\ \Pi_0 &= \sqrt{\gamma} \zeta^2 \left(\tau \pm \sqrt{(\tau^2 - \Lambda_e/2)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Psi = -2 \ln(\beta - \cosh(c_+ f(x))) - 2 \ln\left(\frac{\kappa \Pi_0(t)}{c_+ \sqrt{\beta^2 - 1}}\right) \quad (123)$$

$$N_0 = \frac{\dot{\tau} \gamma}{c_+^2 \beta} (\cosh(c_+ f(x)) - \beta) \quad (124)$$

$$N_1 = \gamma \frac{\dot{c}_+}{c_+} h(x) - \frac{\gamma^2 \dot{\tau} \tau}{c_+^3 \beta} \sinh(c_+ h(x)) \quad (125)$$

where $f(x)$ is the saw-tooth function that peaks with a value of L/N (i.e. $f(z_a) = L/N$) at the particle locations and has zero magnitude half-way between the particles (i.e. $f(\frac{z_a - z_{a+1}}{2}) = 0$).

For the case $c_-^2 < 0$ the solution for the metric function γ becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{\gamma} &= \frac{N}{L \sqrt{\Lambda_e/2 - \tau^2}} \left[\arctan\left(\frac{\sqrt{(1 - \xi^2)}}{\xi}\right) + k\pi \right] \\ c_-^2 &= -\frac{N^2}{L^2} \left[\arctan\left(\frac{\sqrt{(1 - \xi^2)}}{\xi}\right) + k\pi \right]^2 \end{aligned}$$

where k is an integer and the integration constant $|\xi| < 1$

6 Summary of N-body Solutions

The 2-body equilibrium solution we found is simply a special case of the N-body equilibrium solution that occurs when $E^2 = \text{constant}$. For convenience here is a table summarizing the results for $c_+^2 > 0$:

variable	solution
Π	$\Pi_0(t) \exp(\Psi/2)$
Ψ	$-2 \ln(\beta - \cosh(c_+ f(x))) - 2 \ln\left(\frac{\kappa \Pi_0}{c_+ \sqrt{\beta^2 - 1}}\right)$
N_0	$\frac{\dot{\tau} \gamma}{c_+^2 \beta} (\cosh(c_+ f(x)) - \beta)$
N_1	$\gamma \frac{\dot{c}_+}{c_+} h(x) - \frac{\gamma^2 \dot{\tau} \tau}{c_+^3 \beta} \sinh(c_+ h(x))$
Π_0	$\sqrt{\gamma} \zeta^2 \left(\tau \pm \sqrt{\frac{\kappa^2 M^2}{16N^2(\xi^2 - 1)} + (\tau^2 - \Lambda_e/2)} \right)$
β	$\frac{4N}{\kappa M} \sqrt{\frac{\kappa^2 M^2}{16N^2} + (\xi^2 - 1)(\tau^2 - \Lambda_e/2)}$
c_+^2	$\gamma(\tau^2 - \Lambda_e/2)$
$\sqrt{\gamma}$	$\frac{N}{L \sqrt{\tau^2 - \Lambda_e/2}} \operatorname{arctanh} \left(\frac{\xi \sqrt{\frac{\kappa^2 M^2}{16N^2} + (\xi^2 - 1)(\tau^2 - \Lambda_e/2)} - \frac{\kappa M}{4N}}{\frac{\kappa^2 M^2}{16N^2} + \xi^2(\tau^2 - \Lambda_e/2)} \sqrt{\tau^2 - \Lambda_e/2} \right)$
$f(x)$	$f(\frac{z_a + z_{a+1}}{2} + y) = y $ for $ y < L/N$
$h(x)$	$h(\frac{z_a + z_{a+1}}{2} + y) = y$, for $ y < L/N$
Λ_e	$\Lambda - \kappa E^2$

For $c^2 = c_-^2 < 0$ replace $c_+ \rightarrow ic_-$ and the metric is now

variable	solution
N_0	$-\frac{\tau\gamma}{c_-^2\beta} (\cos(c_-f(x)) - \beta)$
N_1	$\gamma\frac{\dot{c}_+}{c_+}h(x) + \frac{\gamma^2\tau}{c_-^3\beta}\sin(c_-h(x))$
$\sqrt{\gamma}$	$\frac{N}{L\sqrt{\Lambda_e/2-\tau^2}} \arctan\left(\frac{\xi\sqrt{\frac{\kappa^2 M^2}{16N^2} + (1-\xi^2)(\Lambda_e/2-\tau^2)} - \frac{\kappa M}{4N}}{\frac{\kappa^2 M^2}{16N^2} - \xi^2(\Lambda_e/2-\tau^2)} \sqrt{\Lambda_e/2-\tau^2}\right) + k\pi$
ξ	$ \xi < \sqrt{1 + \frac{(\kappa M)^2}{8\Lambda_e N^2}}$

where k is an integer.

7 Discussion

We have obtained an exact equilibrium solution for N charged particles of equal mass in circular gravity. Our notion of equilibrium has been taken to be that of a static equilibrium: namely that the ratio of the proper lengths between any two pairs of particles is constant. On any t =constant hypersurface this implies that the values of the z_a are constant.

Our solution is valid only when the electric field is of constant magnitude. The electric field will be constant when the number of charged particles is even and these particles must have the same magnitude charge alternating in sign between positive and negative around the circle. Although restrictive, there is still a lot of freedom in choosing the arrangement of the charged particles situated amongst any number of neutral particles that are present between them. For example it is possible to have a system of N particles with only two charged – then there would be $N - 1$ ways to arrange the charged particles within the system.

Apart from these distinctions, our solution is otherwise the same as that obtained in the electrically neutral case [1]. Our solutions almost certainly describe an unstable equilibrium, since the masses are all equal and the particles are evenly separated. In fact an N -body stable equilibrium does exist within the context of our restrictions, but corresponds to all particles on top of each other, which is simply the one body solution.

We were unable to obtain the most general analytic solutions to the full set of equations. An inspection of the constraint equations suggests that they can only be simultaneously satisfied under very stringent circumstances. Indeed, the situation with two bodies of unequal mass is quite perplexing. One might expect that a solution of unstable equilibrium would exist for two bodies located equidistant from one another on the circle. However our rather general ansatz (46) yields a consistent solution to all equations except for that which governs the time-evolution of Π (eq. (18)); we can find solutions to this last equation only when the masses are equal. Similar stringent constraints hold in the charged cases, where again we were able to find solutions only for equal masses.

It would appear that the most promising way to make further progress would be to solve the equations of (1 + 1) circular gravity numerically. Lineal self-gravitating systems have been studied numerically and interesting results have been obtained in both the 2-body [15] and 3-body [21] cases. Currently there have been no numerical models of (1+1) circular gravity. It would be interesting to numerically model two particles on a circle and to see how the space-time itself behaves differently when compared to the behavior of two-body equilibrium solution. It would also be interesting to numerically model the system of particles when the masses are unequal or when charge has been introduced or both.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

8 Appendix

8.1 Derivation of Equation (98)

In examining equation (29) notice that $N'_0(z_a)$ needs to be defined since $N'_0(x)$ is discontinuous across the particles. This has been seen before in equation (73) So $N'_0(z_a)$ is defined as

$$N'_0(z_a) \equiv \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{N'_0(z_a - \epsilon) + N'_0(z_a + \epsilon)}{2} \quad (126)$$

Now examining the $\int dx N_0 \sqrt{\gamma} E \frac{dE}{dz_a}$ term of equation it is useful to rewrite this term as

$$\begin{aligned} \int dx N_0 \sqrt{\gamma} E \frac{dE}{dz_a} &= N_0 \sqrt{\gamma} \int_{z_a - \epsilon}^{z_a + \epsilon} dx \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_b e_b \epsilon (x - z_b) + E_0 \right) \left(\sum_a e_a \delta(x - z_a) \right) \\ &= N_0(z_a) \sqrt{\gamma} e_a \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_b e_b \epsilon (z_a - z_b) + E_0 \right) \end{aligned}$$

Returning to the two particle example, this implies that at $x = -z$

$$\begin{aligned} \int dx N_0 \sqrt{\gamma} E \frac{dE}{d(-z)} &= N_0(-z) \sqrt{\gamma} \frac{1}{2} q (q + 2E_0) \\ &= N_0(-z) \sqrt{\gamma} \frac{n}{\kappa} \end{aligned}$$

and at $x = +z$

$$\begin{aligned} \int dx N_0 \sqrt{\gamma} E \frac{dE}{dz} &= -N_0(z) \sqrt{\gamma} \frac{1}{2} q (q + 2E_0) \\ &= -N_0(z) \sqrt{\gamma} \frac{n}{\kappa} \end{aligned}$$

Note that because of the symmetry properties of N_0 we have $N'_0(-z) = -N'_0(z)$ and $\int dx N_0 \sqrt{\gamma} E \frac{dE}{d(-z)} = -\int dx N_0 \sqrt{\gamma} E \frac{dE}{dz}$. So (29) is the same equation at z and $-z$ and therefore it is only a single constraint equation. Explicitly $N'_0(z)$ is given by

$$N'_0(z) = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ A [d_+ \sinh(d_+ z) + c_+ \cosh(d_+ z) \tanh(c_+(z-L))] + \frac{\dot{\gamma} \gamma^2 n}{c_+ d_+^2} \tanh(c_+(z-L)) \right\}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \left(\frac{\dot{\gamma} \gamma}{B d_+^2} \right) \left(\frac{\kappa m \sqrt{\gamma}}{2} + \frac{\gamma n}{c_+} \tanh(c_+(z-L)) \right) \\ \frac{\dot{\gamma} \gamma}{d_+^2} &= \frac{AB}{\left(\frac{\kappa m \sqrt{\gamma}}{2} + \frac{\gamma n}{c_+} \tanh(c_+(z-L)) \right)} \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} N'_0(z) &= \frac{A \cosh(d_+ z)}{2 \left(\frac{\kappa m \sqrt{\gamma}}{2} + \frac{\gamma n}{c_+} \tanh(c_+(z-L)) \right)} \left\{ \frac{\kappa m \sqrt{\gamma}}{2} d_+ \tanh(d_+ z) \right. \\ &\quad + \frac{\kappa m \sqrt{\gamma}}{2} c_+ \tanh(c_+(z-L)) \left[1 + \frac{\gamma n}{c_+^2} \right] \\ &\quad \left. + 2 \frac{\gamma n}{c_+} d_+ \tanh(d_+ z) \tanh(c_+(z-L)) \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (127)$$

The constraint equation (29) implies that

$$N'_0(z) = \frac{\sqrt{\gamma} n}{m \kappa} \left(A \cosh(d_+ z) - \frac{\dot{\gamma} \gamma}{d_+^2} \right)$$

or alternatively

$$\begin{aligned} N'_0(z) &= \frac{A \cosh(d_+ z)}{2 \left(\frac{\kappa m \sqrt{\gamma}}{2} + \frac{\gamma n}{c_+} \tanh(c_+(z-L)) \right)} \left\{ \frac{2 \gamma n}{\sqrt{\gamma} m \kappa} c_+ \tanh(c_+(z-L)) \left[\frac{\gamma n}{c_+^2} + 1 \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{2 \gamma n}{\sqrt{\gamma} m \kappa} d_+ \tanh(d_+ z) \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (128)$$

Since the constraint (128) must be equivalent to the definition of $N'_0(z)$ in eq. (127) we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= d_+ \tanh(d_+ z) \frac{2 \sqrt{\gamma}}{m \kappa} \left[n + \left(\frac{\kappa m}{2} \right)^2 \right] + 2 \frac{\gamma n}{c_+} d_+ \tanh(d_+ z) \tanh(c_+(z-L)) \\ &\quad + c_+ \tanh(c_+(z-L)) \left[\frac{2 \gamma^{\frac{3}{2}} n}{m \kappa c_+^2} \left(\left(\frac{\kappa m}{2} \right)^2 - n \right) + \frac{2 \sqrt{\gamma}}{m \kappa} \left(\left(\frac{\kappa m}{2} \right)^2 - n \right) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (129)$$

which is the constraint equation that results from (29).

8.2 Proof of Identity (121)

Now returning to the N -Body equilibrium solution when $E^2 = \text{const}$ an interesting identity that was mentioned without proof was eq. (121). This identity is useful because it allows us to write the functions Ψ, N_0, N_1 in two different forms: either as a single function or as a sum of N functions. Without this identity it would not be apparent that both forms of the equations are in fact equivalent.

We now verify eq. (121). We begin by noting that both $\cosh(c_+ f(x))$ and $\frac{\sinh(\frac{c_+ L}{N})}{\sinh(c_+ L)} \sum_{a=1}^N \cosh(c_+ (|x - z_a| - L))$ are periodic between the particles. This is equivalent to saying that both functions have a period of $\frac{2L}{N}$. For $\cosh(c_+ f(x))$ this can be seen because the sawtooth function $f(x)$ peaks at the particles, yielding $f(x + \frac{2L}{N}) = f(x)$. In fact there are two values for each $|x - z_a|$ because this system is located on a circle. Thus there are two possible directions in which one can measure the distance between x and z_a . If $|x - z_a|$ is the distance between them one way around the circle then $2L - |x - z_a|$ is the distance as measured via the other way. Note that regardless of how $|x - z_a|$ is measured the functions $\cosh(c_+ (|x - z_a| - L))$ are well defined. This is because

$$\begin{aligned} \cosh(c_+ (|x - z_a| - L)) &= \cosh(c_+ (2L - |x - z_a| - L)) \\ &= \cosh(c_+ (L - |x - z_a|)) \end{aligned}$$

Since the particles z_a are evenly spaced with spacing $\frac{2L}{N}$ it follows that $\sum_{a=1}^N \cosh(c_+ (|x - z_a| - L))$ must be periodic with period $\frac{2L}{N}$. This is because a shift by $\frac{2L}{N}$ can be accounted for by relabeling the particles i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a=1}^N \cosh(c_+ (|x + \frac{2L}{N} - z_a| - L)) &= \sum_{a=1}^N \cosh(c_+ (|x - (z_a - \frac{2L}{N})| - L)) \\ &= \sum_{b=1}^N \cosh(c_+ (|x - z_b| - L)) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore because both $\cosh(c_+ f(x))$ and $\frac{\sinh(\frac{c_+ L}{N})}{\sinh(c_+ L)} \sum_{a=1}^N \cosh(c_+ (|x - z_a| - L))$ are periodic between the particles it is only necessary to show that these functions are equivalent between two particles in order to prove that they are equivalent on the entire circle. To prove the equivalence it is necessary to separate the cases when N is even and when N is odd and prove these cases independently.

1. Even N

For the case when N is even the origin is located halfway between two particles, and so the particles are located at $\frac{2k-1}{N}L$ for $k = -\frac{N}{2} + 1, -\frac{N}{2} + 2, \dots, \frac{N}{2}$. We will show equivalence of $\cosh(c_+ f(x))$ and $\frac{\sinh(\frac{c_+ L}{N})}{\sinh(c_+ L)} \sum_{a=1}^N \cosh(c_+ (|x -$

$z_a| - L))$ when $-\frac{L}{N} \leq x \leq \frac{L}{N}$. Recall that the sawtooth function $f(x)$ is defined to have value $\frac{L}{N}$ at the particles (i.e. $f(\frac{2k-1}{N}L) = \frac{L}{N}$) and the value 0 halfway between the particles (i.e. $f(\frac{2k}{N}L) = 0$). So this means

$$f(x) = |x| \text{ for } \frac{-L}{N} \leq x \leq \frac{L}{N}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \cosh(c_+|x|) &= \cosh(c_+x) \\ \therefore \cosh(c_+f(x)) &= \cosh(c_+x) \text{ for } \frac{-L}{N} \leq x \leq \frac{L}{N} \end{aligned}$$

and so it is only required to show that $\sum_{a=1}^N \cosh(c_+(|x - z_a| - L)) = \frac{\sinh(c_+L)}{\sinh(\frac{c_+L}{N})} \cosh(c_+x)$.

This is straightforward

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{a=1}^N \cosh(c_+(|x - z_a| - L)) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{N}{2}} \cosh(c_+(|x - \frac{2k-1}{N}L| - L)) + \sum_{k=-\frac{N}{2}+1}^0 \cosh(c_+(|x - \frac{2k-1}{N}L| - L)) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{N}{2}} \cosh(c_+(\frac{2k-1}{N}L - x - L)) + \sum_{k=-\frac{N}{2}+1}^0 \cosh(c_+(x - \frac{2k-1}{N}L - L)) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{N}{2}} \cosh(c_+(\frac{2k - (N+1)}{N}L - x)) + \cosh(c_+(\frac{2k - (N+1)}{N}L + x)) \\ &\therefore \sum_{a=1}^N \cosh(c_+(|x - z_a| - L)) = \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{N}{2}} 2 \cosh(c_+x) \cosh(\frac{c_+L}{N}(2k - (N+1))) \quad (130) \end{aligned}$$

and note

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{N}{2}} \cosh\left(\frac{c+L}{N}(2k - (N+1))\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{N}{2}} \left[e^{\frac{c+L}{N}(2k-(N+1))} + e^{-\frac{c+L}{N}(2k-(N+1))} \right] \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{N}{2}} \frac{\sinh\left(\frac{c+L}{N}(2k - N)\right) - \sinh\left(\frac{c+L}{N}(2k - (N+2))\right)}{e^{\frac{c+L}{N}} - e^{-\frac{c+L}{N}}} \\
&= \frac{1}{2 \sinh\left(\frac{c+L}{N}\right)} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{\frac{N}{2}} \sinh\left(\frac{c+L}{N}(2k - N)\right) - \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{N}{2}} \sinh\left(\frac{c+L}{N}(2(k-1) - N)\right) \right] \\
&= \frac{\sinh(c+L)}{2 \sinh\left(\frac{c+L}{N}\right)}
\end{aligned}$$

So we have

$$\therefore \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{N}{2}} \cosh\left(\frac{c+L}{N}(2k - (N+1))\right) = \frac{\sinh(c+L)}{2 \sinh\left(\frac{c+L}{N}\right)} \quad (131)$$

and by substituting (131) into (130) we obtain

$$\sum_{a=1}^N \cosh(c_+(|x - z_a| - L)) = \frac{\sinh(c+L)}{\sinh\left(\frac{c+L}{N}\right)} \cosh(c_+x)$$

Hence for an even number of particles

$$\cosh(c_+f(x)) = \frac{\sinh\left(\frac{c+L}{N}\right)}{\sinh(c+L)} \sum_{a=1}^N \cosh(c_+(|x - z_a| - L))$$

2. N is odd

When the number of particles is odd the origin is located to be at the location of one of the particles, so the particles are located at $\frac{2k}{N}L$ for $k = -\frac{N-1}{2}, -\frac{N-1}{2} + 1, \dots, \frac{N-1}{2}$. This time we will show the equivalence of $\cosh(c_+f(x))$ and $\frac{\sinh\left(\frac{c+L}{N}\right)}{\sinh(c+L)} \sum_{a=1}^N \cosh(c_+(|x - z_a| - L))$ in the region $0 \leq x \leq \frac{2L}{N}$.

So

$$f(0) = f\left(\frac{2L}{N}\right) = \frac{L}{N}$$

$$\therefore f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{L}{N} - x & \text{for } 0 \leq x \leq \frac{L}{N} \\ x - \frac{L}{N} & \text{for } \frac{L}{N} \leq x \leq \frac{2L}{N} \end{cases}$$

Note

$$\cosh(c_+(\frac{L}{N} - x)) = \cosh(c_+(x - \frac{L}{N}))$$

$$\therefore \cosh(f(x)) = \cosh(c_+(x - \frac{L}{N})) \text{ for } 0 \leq x \leq \frac{2L}{N}$$

By a similar calculation to the even case we can show that $\sum_{a=1}^N \cosh(c_+(|x - z_a| - L)) = \frac{\sinh(\frac{c_+L}{N})}{\sinh(\frac{c_+L}{N})} \cosh(c_+(x - \frac{L}{N}))$.

Hence when N is odd

$$\cosh(c_+f(x)) = \frac{\sinh(\frac{c_+L}{N})}{\sinh(c_+L)} \sum_{a=1}^N \cosh(c_+(|x - z_a| - L))$$

References

- [1] R. Kerner and R.B. Mann, Class. Quant. Grav. **20** (2003) L133-L138.
- [2] R.B. Mann, Found. Phys. Lett. **4** (1991) 425; R.B. Mann, Gen. Rel. Grav. **24** (1992) 433; J.D. Brown, M. Henneaux, C. Teitelboim Phys.Rev.**D33** (1986) 319;
- [3] J.D. Christensen and R.B. Mann Class.Quant.Grav. **9** (1992) 1769.
- [4] T. Klosch and T. Strobl Class.Quant.Grav.**13** (1996) 965; Erratum-ibid.**14** (1997) 825.
- [5] D. Louis-Martinez, J. Gegenberg and G. Kunstatter Phys.Lett. **B321** (1994) 193.
- [6] G. Mandal, A.M. Sengupta and S.R. Wadia Mod.Phys.Lett. **A6** (1991) 1685.
- [7] C.G. Callan, S.B. Giddings, J.A. Harvey, and A. Strominger Phys.Rev. **D45** (1992) 1005.
- [8] T. Ohta and R.B. Mann, Class. Quant. Grav. **13** (1996) 2585.
- [9] R.B. Mann and P. Chak, Phys.Rev.**E65** (2002) 026128.
- [10] See B.N. Miller and P. Youngkins, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81** 4794 (1998); K.R. Yawn and B.N. Miller, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79** 3561 (1997) and references therein.
- [11] H. Koyama and T. Kinoshi, Phys. Lett. **A** (in press; astro-ph/0008208)
- [12] R.B. Mann and T. Ohta, Phys. Rev. **D57** (1997) 4723; Class. Quant. Grav. **14** (1997) 1259.
- [13] R.B. Mann, D. Robbins and T. Ohta, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82** (1999) 3738.

- [14] R.B. Mann, D. Robbins and T. Ohta, Phys. Rev. **D60** (1999) 104048.
- [15] R.B. Mann, D. Robbins, T. Ohta and M. Trott, Nucl. Phys. **B590** 367.
- [16] G. Rybicki, Astrophys. Space. Sci **14** (1971) 56.
- [17] R.B. Mann, Class.Quant.Grav.**18** (2001) 3427.
- [18] R. Jackiw, Nucl. Phys. B **252**, 343 (1985); C. Teitelboim, Phys. Lett. B **126**, 41, (1983).
- [19] V. Moncreif, J. Math. Phys. **30** (1989) 2907.
- [20] T. Banks and M. O' Loughlin, Nucl. Phys. **B362** (1991) 649; R.B. Mann, Phys. Rev.**D47** (1993) 4438.
- [21] F.J. Burnell, R.B. Mann and T. Ohta, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90** (2003) 134101.